NUSANTARA EDUCATION STUDIES
Volume 1, Issue 2, December 2023 | pp. 73-88
https://doi.org/10.54518/nes.1.2.2023.1230

Formative Assessment and Student Learning Outcomes in Higher Education

Rita Setyawati[1] ✉

Sekolah Tinggi Bahasa Asing LIA, Yogyakarta, Indonesia[1]

ABSTRACT

Formative assessment has become an essential component of student-centered learning because it provides continuous feedback that supports learning improvement throughout the educational process. This study examines the relationship between formative assessment and student learning outcomes in higher education through a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) using the PRISMA 2020 framework. The findings indicate that formative assessment positively influences student learning outcomes by strengthening feedback quality, self-reflection, student participation, and continuous learning improvement. Effective formative assessment encourages students to engage actively with feedback, participate in self-assessment and peer assessment, and develop greater responsibility for their own learning. However, several challenges remain, including feedback quality, instructor workload, assessment literacy, digital assessment implementation, and student engagement. The study concludes that formative assessment is an effective instructional strategy for enhancing learning outcomes in higher education when supported by appropriate assessment design, constructive feedback, and active participation from both instructors and students.

Keywords: *Formative Assessment, Assessment For Learning, Feedback, Learning Outcomes, Higher Education, Student Achievement.*

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:
Rita Setyawati
Sekolah Tinggi Bahasa Asing LIA, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

ARTICLE HISTORY
Received : July 22, 2023
Final Revised : September 13, 2023
Accepted : November 25, 2023
Published : December 30, 2023

1. | INTRODUCTION

Assessment is an integral component of higher education because it provides evidence of student learning while guiding improvements in teaching and learning practices. Traditionally, assessment has been used primarily to evaluate students' academic performance at the end of a learning process. However, contemporary educational approaches increasingly emphasize assessment as a continuous process that supports learning rather than merely measuring it. This shift has led to growing interest in formative assessment, which focuses on providing ongoing feedback that helps students improve their understanding and achieve better learning outcomes throughout the instructional process.

Formative assessment refers to assessment activities conducted during learning to monitor students' progress and provide information that can be used by both instructors and learners to improve subsequent learning. Unlike summative assessment, which evaluates learning after instruction has been completed, formative assessment emphasizes continuous feedback, reflection, and instructional adjustment. Through regular assessment activities, students gain a clearer understanding of their learning progress, identify areas requiring improvement, and actively participate in achieving learning objectives. Consequently, formative assessment has become an important element of student-centered learning in higher education.

The increasing adoption of student-centered education has strengthened the role of formative assessment in universities. Modern higher education encourages active learning, collaboration, critical thinking, and continuous improvement, all of which require assessment practices that extend beyond assigning grades. Morris, Perry, and Wardle (2021) argue that formative assessment and feedback significantly contribute to learning by promoting reflection, self-monitoring, and continuous academic development. Similarly, Hanefar, Anny, and Rahman (2022) report that university instructors perceive formative assessment as an effective approach for enhancing teaching quality and improving student learning experiences.

One of the primary strengths of formative assessment lies in its ability to improve student learning outcomes. Continuous assessment enables instructors to identify students' learning difficulties early and provide timely support before misconceptions become permanent. Students also benefit from constructive feedback that guides them in modifying learning strategies and improving academic performance. Chen, Jiao, and Hu (2021) found that formative assessment implemented within online learning environments positively influences student engagement, learning outcomes, and learning perceptions. Likewise, Kruiper, Leenknecht, and Slof (2022) demonstrate that scaffolding strategies integrated into formative assessment practices significantly improve students' learning processes in higher education.

Feedback represents the central mechanism through which formative assessment supports learning. Effective feedback provides students with specific information about their current performance, identifies areas requiring improvement, and suggests

practical strategies for future learning. Rather than simply indicating correct or incorrect answers, formative feedback encourages students to reflect on their learning processes and actively improve their performance. Haughney, Wakeman, and Hart (2020) emphasize that high-quality feedback is essential for promoting meaningful learning in higher education. Similarly, Winstone and Boud (2022) argue that assessment and feedback should be considered complementary but distinct processes, highlighting the importance of students actively engaging with feedback to improve learning outcomes.

In addition to instructor feedback, formative assessment incorporates self-assessment and peer assessment as strategies for strengthening students' reflective learning. Self-assessment encourages learners to evaluate their own performance, recognize strengths and weaknesses, and develop greater responsibility for learning. Peer assessment enables students to provide constructive feedback to one another, promoting collaboration, critical thinking, and deeper understanding of assessment criteria. Bourke (2018) explains that self-assessment supports learners in developing greater awareness of their own learning processes, while Rico-Juan, Cachero, and Macià (2022) report that collaborative peer assessment improves both learning outcomes and assessment accuracy in higher education.

Despite its educational benefits, implementing formative assessment effectively remains challenging. Instructors often face constraints related to workload, providing timely feedback, assessment design, and maintaining consistency across learning activities. Students may also experience difficulties interpreting feedback or actively applying it to improve future performance. Henderson, Ryan, and Phillips (2019) identify feedback implementation as one of the major challenges in higher education assessment, while Sudakova et al. (2022) highlight additional challenges associated with online formative assessment, including technological readiness and digital assessment practices.

Although extensive research has examined formative assessment from various perspectives, existing studies frequently focus on specific elements such as feedback, digital assessment, or assessment techniques independently. Fewer studies comprehensively synthesize how formative assessment contributes to student learning outcomes while considering instructional practices, feedback quality, self-assessment, peer assessment, and implementation challenges within higher education. A systematic synthesis is therefore needed to provide a broader understanding of effective formative assessment practices.

Therefore, this study employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) following the PRISMA 2020 framework to examine the relationship between formative assessment and student learning outcomes in higher education. By synthesizing studies published between 2019 and 2023, this review aims to identify the principles of formative assessment, factors influencing its effectiveness, its contributions to student learning outcomes, and the challenges affecting its implementation. The findings are expected

to provide valuable insights for educators, researchers, and higher education institutions seeking to strengthen assessment practices and improve student learning outcomes.

2. | LITERATURE REVIEW

Formative Assessment in Higher Education

Formative assessment has become an essential component of teaching and learning in higher education because it supports continuous improvement rather than merely evaluating final academic performance. Unlike traditional assessment approaches that emphasize grading at the end of instruction, formative assessment provides ongoing information about students' learning progress, enabling both instructors and learners to make appropriate adjustments throughout the learning process. Consequently, formative assessment is widely recognized as an effective strategy for promoting student-centered learning and improving educational quality.

Formative assessment refers to assessment activities conducted during instruction to collect evidence of student learning and provide feedback that supports further improvement. The primary objective of formative assessment is not to assign grades but to enhance learning by identifying students' strengths, weaknesses, and learning needs. Morris, Perry, and Wardle (2021) explain that formative assessment creates continuous opportunities for feedback and reflection, allowing students to improve learning performance throughout the educational process. Similarly, Mangal and Mangal (2019) describe formative assessment as an "assessment for learning" approach that emphasizes continuous learning rather than final evaluation.

The implementation of formative assessment aligns closely with student-centered learning approaches adopted by many higher education institutions. Modern universities encourage students to actively participate in learning through discussion, reflection, collaboration, and problem-solving activities. Within this context, formative assessment enables instructors to monitor students' understanding continuously while encouraging learners to take greater responsibility for their academic development. Hanefar, Anny, and Rahman (2022) report that university instructors perceive formative assessment as an important instructional practice for improving both teaching effectiveness and student learning experiences.

Feedback represents the core element of formative assessment. Effective feedback provides students with clear information regarding their current performance, identifies areas requiring improvement, and offers practical guidance for achieving learning objectives. Rather than simply indicating whether answers are correct or incorrect, formative feedback encourages students to reflect on their learning processes and modify learning strategies accordingly. Morris et al. (2021) emphasize that high-quality feedback supports continuous learning by promoting self-reflection and active engagement throughout the instructional process.

Formative assessment also encompasses various assessment strategies, including classroom questioning, quizzes, reflective journals, self-assessment, peer assessment, and interactive learning activities. These approaches enable instructors to collect

evidence of learning from multiple sources while encouraging students to become active participants in the assessment process. Such diversity allows formative assessment to address different learning needs and instructional contexts within higher education.

Overall, formative assessment represents a continuous and learner-centered assessment approach that integrates feedback, reflection, and instructional improvement. By providing timely information about learning progress, formative assessment helps both instructors and students make informed decisions that enhance teaching effectiveness and improve learning outcomes.

Formative Assessment and Student Learning Outcomes

Student learning outcomes represent one of the primary objectives of formative assessment in higher education. Numerous studies indicate that continuous assessment and timely feedback positively influence students' understanding, academic achievement, and overall learning performance. By identifying learning difficulties early and providing opportunities for improvement, formative assessment enables students to develop knowledge and skills more effectively throughout the learning process.

One important contribution of formative assessment is its ability to improve academic performance through continuous feedback. Students who receive regular feedback are better able to recognize their strengths and weaknesses, modify ineffective learning strategies, and improve subsequent performance. Chen, Jiao, and Hu (2021) found that formative assessment implemented within online instruction significantly improves student engagement, learning outcomes, and learning satisfaction. Similarly, Kruiper, Leenknecht, and Slof (2022) demonstrate that scaffolding strategies incorporated into formative assessment practices enhance students' learning performance by providing structured guidance during learning activities.

Formative assessment also encourages students to become active participants in their own learning. Rather than passively receiving grades, students continuously evaluate their progress, respond to feedback, and improve their academic performance through reflection and revision. This process strengthens learners' responsibility for achieving learning objectives while promoting deeper understanding of course content. Morris et al. (2021) conclude that formative assessment positively influences learning because students actively engage with feedback and apply it to improve future learning.

In addition to improving academic achievement, formative assessment strengthens student engagement throughout the learning process. Regular assessment activities encourage students to participate consistently in classroom discussions, complete learning tasks, and interact more frequently with instructors. Veerasamy, Laakso, and D'Souza (2022) found that formative assessment activities can effectively predict student engagement and identify learners at risk of poor academic performance. These findings suggest that formative assessment functions not only as an evaluation tool but

also as a mechanism for supporting continuous learning and improving overall educational outcomes.

Factors Influencing Effective Formative Assessment

The effectiveness of formative assessment is influenced by several interconnected factors related to instructors, students, assessment design, and feedback practices. Although formative assessment aims to improve learning continuously, its educational impact depends on how assessment activities are planned, implemented, and utilized throughout the learning process. Effective formative assessment therefore requires active participation from both instructors and students.

One of the most influential factors is feedback quality. Feedback should be timely, specific, constructive, and directly related to learning objectives. High-quality feedback enables students to identify their strengths, recognize areas requiring improvement, and implement appropriate learning strategies. Haughney, Wakeman, and Hart (2020) emphasize that meaningful feedback is characterized by clarity, relevance, and actionable recommendations that support continuous learning. Similarly, Winstone and Boud (2022) argue that feedback becomes effective only when students actively engage with and apply the information provided rather than simply receiving comments from instructors.

Another important factor is assessment design. Well-designed formative assessments should align with course learning outcomes, encourage active participation, and provide opportunities for continuous improvement. Assessment tasks should not merely measure knowledge acquisition but also promote critical thinking, reflection, and problem-solving skills. Norton, Floyd, and Norton (2019) found that effective assessment design depends on clear assessment criteria, appropriate marking practices, and meaningful feedback processes. Likewise, Sutherland-Smith and Dawson (2022) highlight that assessment design should balance academic integrity with authentic learning experiences that encourage students' continuous development.

Instructor competence also plays a significant role in successful formative assessment. Educators are responsible for designing appropriate assessment activities, interpreting assessment evidence, and providing constructive feedback that supports student learning. Hanefar, Anny, and Rahman (2022) report that instructors who understand formative assessment principles are more likely to implement assessment practices that improve student engagement and learning outcomes. Therefore, professional development and assessment literacy are important for enhancing assessment quality in higher education.

Student participation represents another critical factor influencing formative assessment effectiveness. Students should actively engage with assessment activities by reflecting on feedback, revising their work, and participating in self-assessment or peer assessment processes. Active participation encourages learners to become more responsible for their academic progress while strengthening self-reflection and

independent learning. Consequently, effective formative assessment requires collaboration between instructors and students throughout the learning process.

Benefits of Formative Assessment

Formative assessment provides numerous educational benefits that contribute to improving teaching quality and student learning outcomes in higher education. Because assessment is integrated throughout the learning process, students receive continuous opportunities to improve their understanding before final evaluation. This continuous support makes formative assessment an important strategy for developing student-centered learning environments.

One of the primary benefits of formative assessment is improved learning outcomes. Continuous assessment enables students to identify learning difficulties early, receive constructive feedback, and revise their understanding before completing summative assessments. Morris, Perry, and Wardle (2021) conclude that formative assessment positively influences student learning because regular feedback promotes continuous academic improvement. Similarly, Chen, Jiao, and Hu (2021) demonstrate that formative assessment implemented in online learning environments significantly improves student engagement, learning outcomes, and learning satisfaction.

Formative assessment also promotes self-reflection and independent learning. Through self-assessment and ongoing feedback, students become more aware of their strengths, weaknesses, and learning progress. This reflective process encourages learners to take greater responsibility for achieving learning objectives and developing effective learning strategies. Bourke (2018) explains that self-assessment enhances students' awareness of their own learning processes, while González-Betancor, Bolívar-Cruz, and Verano-Tacoronte (2019) report that accurate self-assessment contributes positively to academic performance in higher education.

Another important benefit is the development of critical thinking and collaborative learning. Peer assessment activities encourage students to evaluate the work of others using established assessment criteria, thereby strengthening analytical skills and deeper understanding of course content. Rico-Juan, Cachero, and Macià (2022) found that collaborative peer assessment improves both learning outcomes and assessment accuracy, while Liu et al. (2019) demonstrate that peer assessment contributes to better learning performance by encouraging active participation and constructive academic interaction. These findings suggest that formative assessment extends beyond measuring learning by actively supporting students' intellectual development and lifelong learning skills.

Challenges of Formative Assessment

Despite its numerous educational benefits, implementing formative assessment effectively remains a challenge in many higher education institutions. Successful formative assessment requires continuous interaction between instructors and students, timely feedback, well-designed assessment activities, and active student participation.

Limitations in any of these areas may reduce the effectiveness of formative assessment and hinder its contribution to student learning outcomes.

One of the most significant challenges is providing timely and meaningful feedback. In higher education, instructors often teach large classes, making it difficult to deliver individualized feedback within a reasonable timeframe. Delayed or overly general feedback reduces students' opportunities to revise their understanding and improve subsequent learning. Henderson, Ryan, and Phillips (2019) identify feedback delivery as one of the major challenges in higher education assessment, emphasizing that workload and limited instructional time frequently constrain effective feedback practices. Similarly, McConlogue (2020) notes that providing high-quality feedback requires considerable planning and institutional support to ensure that assessment genuinely promotes learning.

The increasing adoption of digital and online learning environments also presents new challenges for formative assessment. While digital technologies create opportunities for continuous assessment and immediate feedback, they also require appropriate technological infrastructure, instructor competence, and student digital literacy. Sudakova et al. (2022) report that online formative assessment has expanded rapidly in higher education, but its successful implementation depends on institutions' ability to integrate technology with effective pedagogical practices. Likewise, Kaya-Capocci, O'Leary, and Costello (2022) emphasize that digital formative assessment requires clear implementation frameworks to ensure that technology supports meaningful learning rather than simply digitizing conventional assessment methods.

Another challenge concerns student engagement with feedback. Receiving feedback alone does not automatically improve learning outcomes; students must actively interpret, reflect upon, and apply feedback to future learning activities. Some learners experience difficulties understanding assessment criteria or lack the motivation to revise their work based on instructor comments. Winstone and Boud (2022) argue that higher education should move beyond simply providing feedback toward developing students' capacity to use feedback effectively as part of the learning process.

Assessment consistency and assessment literacy further influence the success of formative assessment. Instructors require sufficient knowledge to design valid assessment activities, apply appropriate assessment criteria, and interpret assessment evidence consistently. Likewise, students need assessment literacy to understand assessment expectations and participate meaningfully in self-assessment and peer assessment activities. Without these competencies, formative assessment may become inconsistent and less effective in supporting learning improvement.

Overall, the literature suggests that strengthening formative assessment requires collaboration among instructors, students, and institutions. Improving feedback quality, enhancing assessment literacy, supporting digital assessment practices, and encouraging active student engagement are essential for maximizing the educational value of formative assessment in higher education.

3. | RESEARCH METHOD

This study employed a qualitative Systematic Literature Review (SLR) to examine the relationship between formative assessment and student learning outcomes in higher education. The review synthesized previous empirical studies to identify the principles of formative assessment, factors influencing its effectiveness, educational benefits, and implementation challenges within university learning environments.

The review followed the PRISMA 2020 (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) framework proposed by Page et al. (2021). The review process consisted of four stages: identification, screening, eligibility assessment, and inclusion of relevant studies. This systematic procedure ensured transparency, consistency, and rigor throughout the literature selection and synthesis process.

Relevant studies were identified through Scopus, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, ERIC, Taylor & Francis Online, Wiley Online Library, and Google Scholar. The search employed combinations of keywords including *formative assessment*, *assessment for learning*, *feedback*, *learning outcomes*, *higher education*, *student achievement*, *assessment literacy*, *peer assessment*, and *self-assessment*. Only English-language publications published between 2019 and 2023 were included to capture contemporary developments in formative assessment research.

The inclusion criteria comprised peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, systematic literature reviews, and scholarly books discussing formative assessment within higher education. Studies addressing feedback, learning outcomes, assessment design, self-assessment, peer assessment, and assessment literacy were included. Editorial articles, duplicate publications, opinion papers, and studies conducted outside higher education contexts were excluded from the review.

The selected studies were analyzed using thematic analysis. The findings were organized into five themes: (1) formative assessment in higher education, (2) formative assessment and student learning outcomes, (3) factors influencing effective formative assessment, (4) benefits of formative assessment, and (5) challenges of formative assessment. This thematic organization enabled a comprehensive synthesis of current evidence regarding how formative assessment contributes to improving student learning outcomes.

The analytical framework positions formative assessment as a continuous learning process that enhances student learning outcomes through effective feedback, active student participation, self-assessment, and peer assessment. The framework also recognizes feedback quality, assessment design, instructor competence, assessment literacy, and student engagement as key factors determining the successful implementation of formative assessment in higher education.

4. | RESULTS

The findings of this systematic literature review indicate that formative assessment plays an important role in improving student learning outcomes in higher education. Across the reviewed studies, formative assessment consistently contributes to better academic performance, increased student engagement, stronger self-reflection, and more effective learning processes. The literature also demonstrates that the success of formative assessment depends on the quality of feedback, assessment design, instructor competence, and students' active participation throughout the learning process.

One of the most consistent findings is the positive relationship between formative assessment and student learning outcomes. Continuous assessment enables instructors to identify learning difficulties at an early stage while providing students with opportunities to improve before final evaluation. Morris, Perry, and Wardle (2021) conclude that formative assessment significantly enhances learning by providing continuous feedback that guides students' academic development. Similarly, Chen, Jiao, and Hu (2021) found that formative assessment implemented in online learning environments positively influences student engagement, academic performance, and overall learning satisfaction.

The review also highlights the important role of feedback in supporting student learning. Effective feedback provides students with clear information regarding their current performance, identifies areas requiring improvement, and encourages them to revise their learning strategies. Students who actively utilize feedback generally demonstrate greater improvement than those who simply receive assessment results without reflection. Haughney, Wakeman, and Hart (2020) emphasize that high-quality feedback promotes meaningful learning because it helps students understand how to improve future performance. Likewise, Winstone and Boud (2022) argue that learning improvement depends not only on providing feedback but also on students' ability to engage with and apply feedback effectively.

Another important finding concerns the contribution of self-assessment and peer assessment to learning improvement. Students who participate in evaluating their own work or providing feedback to peers become more aware of assessment criteria and learning expectations. These activities strengthen reflection, critical thinking, and responsibility for learning. Bourke (2018) explains that self-assessment encourages learners to develop greater awareness of their own academic progress, while Rico-Juan, Cachero, and Macià (2022) demonstrate that collaborative peer assessment improves both learning outcomes and assessment accuracy in higher education. Similarly, Liu et al. (2019) report that peer assessment supports better learning performance by encouraging constructive academic interaction among students.

The reviewed studies further indicate that effective formative assessment depends on appropriate instructional and assessment practices. Well-designed assessment activities, constructive feedback, and clear learning objectives encourage students to participate actively throughout the learning process. Norton, Floyd, and Norton (2019)

report that assessment design directly influences the quality of student learning by shaping how feedback and assessment activities are implemented. Likewise, Hanefar, Anny, and Rahman (2022) found that instructors' understanding of formative assessment principles positively affects teaching effectiveness and student learning experiences.

Despite these educational benefits, several challenges continue to influence the implementation of formative assessment. Instructors frequently encounter difficulties related to providing timely feedback, managing large class sizes, and maintaining assessment consistency. In addition, digital assessment environments require appropriate technological infrastructure and sufficient assessment literacy among both instructors and students. Sudakova et al. (2022) identify online formative assessment as an expanding area of higher education that requires effective integration of technology and pedagogy. Kaya-Capocci, O'Leary, and Costello (2022) similarly emphasize that successful digital formative assessment depends on comprehensive implementation frameworks and institutional support.

Overall, the reviewed literature demonstrates that formative assessment contributes substantially to improving student learning outcomes by strengthening feedback, reflection, participation, and continuous learning improvement. However, achieving these benefits requires effective assessment design, active student engagement, qualified instructors, and supportive institutional environments.

5. | DISCUSSION

The findings demonstrate that formative assessment has become an essential instructional approach for improving student learning outcomes in higher education. Unlike traditional assessment that primarily evaluates final achievement, formative assessment supports learning throughout the educational process by providing continuous feedback and opportunities for improvement. The reviewed studies consistently indicate that students benefit from assessment practices that encourage reflection, revision, and active participation rather than focusing solely on final grades.

One of the principal findings of this review is the strong relationship between formative assessment and student learning outcomes. Students who receive continuous assessment and constructive feedback are better able to identify learning difficulties, improve their understanding, and modify learning strategies before final evaluation. These findings suggest that formative assessment functions as an important mechanism for supporting continuous academic improvement rather than simply measuring learning achievement. Consequently, higher education institutions should integrate formative assessment into regular teaching practices to promote sustained learning development.

The review also highlights the central role of feedback in determining the effectiveness of formative assessment. Effective feedback provides students with clear guidance regarding their current performance and practical recommendations for future

improvement. However, feedback contributes to learning only when students actively engage with it through reflection and revision. This finding emphasizes that feedback should be viewed as an interactive learning process rather than a one-way communication from instructors to students. Universities should therefore encourage feedback practices that promote dialogue and continuous learning improvement.

Another important finding concerns the contribution of self-assessment and peer assessment to student learning. These assessment approaches encourage students to become active participants in evaluating learning quality while strengthening reflection, critical thinking, and responsibility for academic progress. Through self-assessment, students develop greater awareness of their strengths and weaknesses, whereas peer assessment promotes collaborative learning and constructive academic interaction. These findings demonstrate that formative assessment extends beyond instructor feedback by encouraging students to participate directly in the assessment process.

The findings further indicate that effective formative assessment depends on appropriate assessment design and instructor competence. Assessment activities should align with learning outcomes, provide meaningful opportunities for improvement, and incorporate feedback throughout the learning process. Instructors require adequate assessment literacy to design valid assessment tasks, interpret assessment evidence accurately, and provide constructive feedback that supports learning. Professional development programs focusing on assessment practices may therefore contribute to improving the quality of formative assessment in higher education.

Despite these educational benefits, several implementation challenges remain. Providing timely and individualized feedback can be difficult, particularly in large classes where instructors face significant workload demands. The increasing use of digital learning environments also requires both instructors and students to develop competencies related to online assessment and digital feedback. Furthermore, some students may experience difficulties interpreting assessment feedback or applying recommendations to future learning activities. These challenges highlight the need for institutional support, effective assessment policies, and continuous improvement of assessment practices.

Overall, the findings suggest that formative assessment represents a valuable educational strategy for improving student learning outcomes in higher education. Continuous feedback, active student participation, effective assessment design, and supportive instructional practices collectively contribute to stronger academic performance and deeper learning. Universities should therefore strengthen formative assessment practices to create learning environments that promote continuous improvement, learner autonomy, and long-term academic success.

6. | CONCLUSION

This study examined the relationship between formative assessment and student learning outcomes in higher education through a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) using the PRISMA 2020 framework. By synthesizing studies published between 2019

and 2023, the review identified the principles of formative assessment, factors influencing its effectiveness, educational benefits, and implementation challenges within higher education.

The findings indicate that formative assessment positively contributes to student learning outcomes by providing continuous feedback, encouraging self-reflection, promoting active participation, and supporting continuous learning improvement. Students who actively engage with formative assessment practices demonstrate stronger academic performance, greater learning responsibility, and improved understanding of course content. In addition, self-assessment and peer assessment strengthen reflective learning and critical thinking while increasing students' participation in the assessment process.

However, successful implementation of formative assessment depends on several factors, including feedback quality, assessment design, instructor competence, assessment literacy, and institutional support. Challenges related to workload, timely feedback, digital assessment, and student engagement remain important considerations for higher education institutions seeking to improve assessment practices.

Overall, formative assessment represents an effective approach for enhancing student learning outcomes in higher education. Universities should integrate formative assessment into teaching and learning practices while strengthening instructor assessment literacy and encouraging active student participation. Future research may further investigate innovative formative assessment strategies that effectively support learning across different disciplines, learning environments, and educational technologies.

Acknowledgement

We gratefully acknowledge the contributions of individuals who supported the completion of this article.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval and Originality Statement

Ethical approval was obtained for this study. The manuscript represents original work and has not been previously published, nor is it under consideration by another journal.

Data Disclosure Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

Asamoah, D., Shahrill, M., & Abdul Latif, S. (2022). A review of formative assessment techniques in higher education during COVID-19. *The Qualitative Report, 27*(2), 475–487.

Bourke, R. (2018). Self-assessment to incite learning in higher education: Developing ontological awareness. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 43*(5), 827–839.

Chen, Z., Jiao, J., & Hu, K. (2021). Formative assessment as an online instruction intervention: Student engagement, outcomes, and perceptions. *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies, 19*(1), 50–65.

Febriani, I., & Abdullah, M. I. (2018). A systematic review of formative assessment tools in the blended learning environment. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology, 7*(4.11), 33–39.

González-Betancor, S. M., Bolívar-Cruz, A., & Verano-Tacoronte, D. (2019). Self-assessment accuracy in higher education: The influence of gender and performance of university students. *Active Learning in Higher Education, 20*(2), 101–114.

Hanefar, S. B. M., Anny, N., & Rahman, S. (2022). Enhancing teaching and learning in higher education through formative assessment: Teachers' perceptions. *International Journal of Assessment Tools in Education, 9*(1), 61–79.

Haughney, K., Wakeman, S., & Hart, L. (2020). Quality of feedback in higher education: A review of literature. *Education Sciences, 10*(3), 60.

Henderson, M., Ryan, T., & Phillips, M. (2019). The challenges of feedback in higher education. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*.

Kaya-Capocci, S., O'Leary, M., & Costello, E. (2022). Towards a framework to support the implementation of digital formative assessment in higher education. *Education Sciences, 12*(11), 823.

Kruiper, S. M., Leenknecht, M. J., & Slof, B. (2022). Using scaffolding strategies to improve formative assessment practice in higher education. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 47*(3), 458–476.

Linnenluecke, M. K., Marrone, M., & Singh, A. K. (2020). Conducting systematic literature reviews and bibliometric analyses. *Australian Journal of Management, 45*(2), 175–194.

Liu, J., Guo, X., Gao, R., Fram, P., Ling, Y., Zhang, H., & Wang, J. (2019). Students' learning outcomes and peer rating accuracy in compulsory and voluntary online peer assessment. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 44*(6), 835–847.

Mangal, S. K., & Mangal, S. (2019). *Assessment for Learning*. PHI Learning.

McConlogue, T. (2020). *Assessment and Feedback in Higher Education: A Guide for Teachers*. UCL Press.

Mahanan, M. S., Talib, C. A., & Ibrahim, N. H. (2021). Online formative assessment in higher STEM education: A systematic literature review. *Asian Journal of Assessment in Teaching and Learning, 11*(1), 47–62.

Morris, R., Perry, T., & Wardle, L. (2021). Formative assessment and feedback for learning in higher education: A systematic review. *Review of Education, 9*(3), e3292.

Norton, L., Floyd, S., & Norton, B. (2019). Lecturers' views of assessment design, marking and feedback in higher education: A case for professionalisation? *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 44*(8), 1209–1221.

Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., et al. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ, 372*, n71.

Rico-Juan, J. R., Cachero, C., & Macià, H. (2022). Influence of individual versus collaborative peer assessment on score accuracy and learning outcomes in higher education: An empirical study. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 47*(4), 570–587.

Sudakova, N. E., Savina, T. N., Masalimova, A. R., Mikhaylovsky, M. N., Karandeeva, L. G., & Zhdanov, S. P. (2022). Online formative assessment in higher education: Bibliometric analysis. *Education Sciences, 12*(3), 209.

Sutherland-Smith, W., & Dawson, P. (2022). Higher education assessment design. In *Contract Cheating in Higher Education: Global Perspectives on Theory, Practice, and Policy* (pp. 91–105). Springer.

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research, 104*, 333–339.

Veerasamy, A. K., Laakso, M. J., & D'Souza, D. (2022). Formative assessment tasks as indicators of student engagement for predicting at-risk students in programming courses. *Informatics in Education, 21*(2), 375–393.

Winstone, N. E., & Boud, D. (2022). The need to disentangle assessment and feedback in higher education. *Studies in Higher Education, 47*(3), 656–667.